

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/516,327	PROCTOR ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDREW LAI	2473	

All Participants:

(1) ANDREW LAI (Examiner).

(2) Linda Gunderson (Applicant's Representative).

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2010

Time: ~3:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

40

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment for claim 20. Dr. Gunderson authorized the proposed Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)